arXiv:1510.05608v1 [math.FA] 13 Oct 2015

Δ-WEAK CHARACTER AMENABILITY OF CERTAIN BANACH ALGEBRAS

H. SADEGHI

Abstract. In this paper we introduce the notion of Δ-weak character amenable Banach algebras and investigate Δ-weak character amenability of certain Banach algebras such as projective tensor product $A\widehat{\otimes}B$, Lau product $A \times_T B$ for every Banach algebra A and B, where T be a homomorphism from B into A, abstract Segal algebras and module extension Banach algebras.

2010 Mathematics subject classifucation: Primary: 46H25; Secondary: 46M10.
Keywords: Banach algebra, Δ-weak approximate identity, Δ-weak character amenability.

1. Introduction

Let A be a Banach algebra and let $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$, consisting of all nonzero character on A. The concept of φ-amenability was first introduced by Kaniuth *et al.* in [8]. Specifically, A is called φ-amenable if there exist a $m \in A^{**}$ such that

(1) $m(\varphi) = 1$;
(2) $m(f.a) = \varphi(a)m(f)$ $(a \in A, f \in A^*)$.

Monfared in [13], introduced and studied the notion of character amenable Banach algebra. A was called character amenable if it has a bounded right approximate identity and it is φ-amenable for all $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. Many aspects of φ-amenability have been investigated in [6, 8, 12].

Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$. Following [9], A is called Δ-weak φ-amenable if, there exists a $m \in A^{**}$ such that

(1) $m(\varphi) = 0$;
(2) $m(\psi.a) = \psi(a)$ $(a \in \ker(\varphi), \psi \in \Delta(A))$.

In this paper we use above definition with a slight difference. In fact we say that A is called Δ-weak φ-amenable if, there exists a $m \in A^{**}$ such that

(1) $m(\varphi) = 0$;
(2) $m(\psi.a) = \psi(a)$ $(a \in A, \psi \in \Delta(A) \setminus \{\varphi\})$.

The aim of the present work is to study Δ-weak character amenability of certain Banach algebras such as projective tensor product $A\widehat{\otimes}B$, Lau

Date:

product $A \times_T B$, abstract Segal algebras and module extension Banach algebras. Indeed, we show that $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is Δ-weak character amenable if and only if both A and B is Δ-weak character amenable. It is also shown that if $A \times_T B$ is Δ-weak character amenable, then so are A and B. In the case that $T(B)$ is dense in A, we prove that Δ-weak character amenability of A and B implies Δ-weak character amenability of $A \times_T B$. For abstract Segal algebra B with respect to A, we investigate relation between Δ-weak character amenability of A and B. Finally, for a Banach algebra A and A-bimodule X we show that $A \oplus_1 X$ is Δ-weak character amenable if and only if A is Δ-weak character amenable.

2. Δ-weak character amenability of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$

We commence this section with the following definition:

Definition 2.1. Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$. We say that A is called Δ-weak φ-amenable if, there exists a $m \in A^{**}$ such that

(1) $m(\varphi) = 0$;
(2) $m(\psi.a) = \psi(a)$ $(a \in A, \psi \in \Delta(A) \setminus \{\varphi\})$.

Example 2.2. Let A be a Banach algebra such that $\Delta(A) = \{\varphi, \psi\}$, where $\varphi \neq \psi$. Hence, by Theorem 3.3.14 of [7], there exists a $a_0 \in A$ with $\varphi(a_0) = 0$ and $\psi(a_0) = 1$. Put $m = \widehat{a_0}$. Then $m(\varphi) = \widehat{a_0}(\varphi) = \varphi(a_0) = 0$ and for every $a \in A$, we have

$$m(\psi.a) = \widehat{a_0}(\psi.a) = \psi.a(a_0) = \psi(aa_0) = \psi(a).$$

Therefore A is Δ-weak φ-amenable.

Example 2.3. Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$. Suppose that A is a φ-amenable and has a bounded right approximate identity. By Corollary 2.3 of [8], $\ker(\varphi)$ has a bounded right approximate identity. Let $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a bounded right approximate identity for $\ker(\varphi)$. If there exists $a_0 \in A$ with $\varphi(a_0) = 1$ and $\lim_\alpha \big|\psi(a_0 e_\alpha) - \psi(a_0)\big| = 0$ for all $\psi \in \Delta(A)\setminus\{\varphi\}$, then A is Δ-weak φ-amenable. For see this let $m = w^* - \lim_\alpha(\widehat{e_\alpha})$. Now, we have

$$m(\varphi) = \lim_\alpha \widehat{e_\alpha}(\varphi) = \lim_\alpha \varphi(e_\alpha) = 0,$$

and for every $\psi \in \Delta(A) \setminus \{\varphi\}$ and $a \in \ker(\varphi)$,

$$m(\psi.a) = \lim_\alpha \widehat{e_\alpha}(\psi.a) = \lim_\alpha \psi.a(e_\alpha) = \lim_\alpha \psi(ae_\alpha) = \psi(a).$$

Let $a \in A$. Then $a - \varphi(a)a_0 \in \ker(\varphi)$ and for every $\psi \in \Delta(A)\setminus\{\varphi\}$, we have

$$m\big(\psi.(a - \varphi(a)a_0)\big) = \psi\big(a - \varphi(a)a_0\big).$$

Therefore $m(\psi.a) = \psi(a)$. So A is Δ-weak φ-amenable.

Definition 2.4. Let A be a Banach algebra. We say that A is Δ-weak character amenable if it is Δ-weak φ-amenable for every $\varphi \in \Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$.

Definition 2.5. Let A be a Banach algebra. The net $(a_\alpha)_\alpha$ in A is called a Δ-weak approximate identity if, $|\varphi(aa_\alpha) - \varphi(a)| \longrightarrow 0$, for each $a \in A$ and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$.

Note that the approximate identity and Δ-weak approximate identity of a Banach algebra are different. Jones and Lahr proved that if $S = \mathbb{Q}^+$ the semigroup algebra $l^1(S)$ has a bounded Δ-weak approximate identity, but it does not have any bounded or unbounded approximate identity (see [5]).

The proof of the following theorem is omitted, since it can be proved in the same direction of Theorem 2.2 of [9].

Theorem 2.6. *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$. Then A is Δ-weak φ-amenable if and only if $\ker(\varphi)$ has a bounded Δ-weak approximate identity for A (i.e. there exists a net $(a_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$ such that $|\psi(aa_\alpha) - \psi(a)| \longrightarrow 0$, for each $a \in A$ and $\psi \in \Delta(A) \setminus \{\varphi\}$).*

For $f \in A^*$ and $g \in B^*$, let $f \otimes g$ denote the element of $(A\widehat{\otimes}B)^*$ satisfying $(f \otimes g)(a \otimes b) = f(a)g(b)$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Note that, with this notion,

$$\Delta(A\widehat{\otimes}B) = \{\varphi \otimes \psi : \varphi \in \Delta(A), \psi \in \Delta(B)\}.$$

Theorem 2.7. *Let A and B be Banach algebras and let $\varphi \in \Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$ and $\psi \in \Delta(B) \cup \{0\}$. Then $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is Δ-weak $(\varphi \otimes \psi)$-amenable if and only if A is Δ-weak φ-amenable and B is Δ-weak ψ-amenable.*

Proof. Suppose that $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is Δ-weak $(\varphi \otimes \psi)$-amenable. So, there exists $m \in (A\widehat{\otimes}B)^{**}$ such that

$$m(\varphi \otimes \psi) = 0, \;\; m(\varphi' \otimes \psi'.a \otimes b) = \varphi' \otimes \psi'(a \otimes b),$$

for all $a \otimes b \in A\widehat{\otimes}B, \varphi' \otimes \psi' \in \Delta(A\widehat{\otimes}B)$. Choose $b_0 \in B$ such that $\psi(b_0) = 1$, and define $m_\varphi \in A^{**}$ by $m_\varphi(f) = m(f \otimes \psi)(f \in A^*)$. Then $m_\varphi(\varphi) = m(\varphi \otimes \psi) = 0$ and for every $a \in A$ and $\varphi' \in \Delta(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_\varphi(\varphi'.a) &= m(\varphi'.a \otimes \psi) = m((\varphi'.a \otimes \psi.b_0) \\ &= m(\varphi' \otimes \psi.a \otimes b_0) = \varphi' \otimes \psi(a \otimes b_0) \\ &= \varphi'(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus A is Δ-weak φ-amenable. By a similar argument as above we may show that B is Δ-weak ψ-amenable.

Conversely, let A is Δ-weak φ-amenable and B is Δ-weak ψ-amenable. By Theorem 2.6, there are bounded nets $(a_\alpha)_\alpha$ and $(b_\beta)_\beta$ in $\ker(\varphi)$ and $\ker(\psi)$, respectively, such that $\left|\varphi'(aa_\alpha) - \varphi'(a)\right| \longrightarrow 0$ and $\left|\psi'(bb_\beta) - \psi'(b)\right| \longrightarrow 0$ for all $a \in A, b \in B, \varphi' \in \Delta(A), \psi' \in \Delta(B)$, where $\varphi' \neq \varphi$ and $\psi' \neq \psi$. Consider the bounded net $((a_\alpha, b_\beta))_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. We show that $((a_\alpha, b_\beta))_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a bounded Δ-weak approximate identity for $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ in $\ker(\varphi \otimes \psi)$. Indeed, let $\|a_\alpha\| \leq M_1, \|b_\beta\| \leq M_2$ and let $F = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \otimes d_i \in A\widehat{\otimes}B$. For every

$\varphi' \in \Delta(A) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\psi' \in \Delta(B) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\varphi' \otimes \psi'(F.a_\alpha \otimes b_\beta) - \varphi' \otimes \psi'(F)| \\
&\quad = |\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Big[\big(\varphi'(c_i a_\alpha) - \varphi'(c_i)\big)\psi'(d_i b_\beta) + \varphi'(c_i)\big(\psi'(d_i b_\beta) - \psi'(d_i)\big)\Big]| \\
&\quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} M_2 \|d_i\| \|\psi'\| |\varphi'(c_i a_\alpha) - \varphi'(c_i)| + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\varphi'\| \|c_i\| |\psi'(d_i b_\beta) - \psi'(d_i)| \\
&\quad \longrightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let $G \in A\widehat{\otimes}B$, so there exist sequences $(c_i)_i \subseteq A$ and $(d_i)_i \subseteq B$ such that $G = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \otimes d_i$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|c_i\|\|d_i\| < \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, we choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \|c_i\|\|d_i\| < \varepsilon/4M_1M_2\|\varphi'\|\|\psi'\|$. Put $F = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \otimes d_i$. Since $|\varphi' \otimes \psi'(F.a_\alpha \otimes b_\beta) - \varphi' \otimes \psi'(F)| \longrightarrow 0$, it follows that there exists (α_0, β_0) such that $|\varphi' \otimes \psi'(F.a_\alpha \otimes b_\beta) - \varphi' \otimes \psi'(F)| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \geq (\alpha_0, \beta_0)$. Now for such a (α, β), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\varphi' \otimes \psi'(G.a_\alpha \otimes b_\beta) - \varphi' \otimes \psi'(G)\big| \\
&\quad = \big|\varphi' \otimes \psi'(F.a_\alpha \otimes b_\beta) - \varphi' \otimes \psi'(F) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i=1+N}^{\infty} \big(\varphi'(c_i a_\alpha)\psi'(d_i b_\beta) - \varphi'(c_i)\psi'(d_i)\big)\big| \\
&\quad \leq \varepsilon/2 + 2M_1M_2\|\varphi'\|\|\psi'\| \sum_{i+N}^{\infty} \|c_i\|\|d_i\| \leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\big|\varphi' \otimes \psi'(G.a_\alpha \otimes b_\beta) - \varphi' \otimes \psi'(G)\big| \longrightarrow 0$. Also, clearly $\big|\varphi' \otimes \psi'(G.a_\alpha \otimes b_\beta) - \varphi' \otimes \psi'(G)\big| \longrightarrow 0$ for $\varphi' = 0$ and $\psi' = 0$ and it is easy to see that $((a_\alpha, b_\beta))_{(\alpha,\beta)} \subset \ker(\varphi \otimes \psi)$. So $((a_\alpha, b_\beta))_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a bounded Δ-weak approximate identity for $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ in $\ker(\varphi \otimes \psi)$. Therefore $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is Δ-weak $(\varphi \otimes \psi)$-amenable, again by Theorem 2.6. □

Corollary 2.8. *Let A and B be Banach algebras. Then $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is Δ-weak character amenable if and only if both A and B are Δ-weak character amenable.*

3. Δ-weak character amenability of $A \times_T B$

The Lau product of two Banach algebras was first introduced by Lau [10]. Extension to arbitrary Banach algebras was proposed by M. S. Monfared [12] with the notation $A \times_\theta B$, where θ is a non-zero multiplication linear functional on B.

Recently, in the case where A is commutative, a new extension of Lau product introduced and has been studied by Bhatt and Dabhi [3]. In [1], the authors, by slight change in the definition of multiplication given by Bhatt and Dabhi on $A \times_\varphi B$, investigated the two notions, biprojectivity and biflatness on $A \times_\varphi B$ for an arbitrary Banach algebra A, where φ is a Banach algebra homomorphism from B into A.

Let A and B be two Banach algebras and let $T \in \mathrm{Hom}(B, A)$, the space consisting of all Banach algebra homomorphism from B into A. Moreover, assume that $\|T\| \leq 1$. Following [1], the cartesian product space $A \times B$ equipped with the following algebra multiplication

$$(a_1, b_1).(a_2, b_2) = (a_1a_2 + a_1T(b_2) + T(b_1)a_2, b_1b_2), \ \ (a_1, a_2 \in A, b_1, b_2 \in B),$$

and the norm $\|(a, b)\| = \|a\|_A + \|b\|_B$, defined a Banach algebra which is denoted by $A \times_T B$.

We note that the dual space $(A \times_T B)^*$ can be identified with $A^* \times B^*$, via

$$\langle (f, g), (a, b)\rangle = \langle a, f\rangle + \langle b, g\rangle \ \ (a \in A, f \in A^*, b \in B, g \in B^*).$$

For more details see Theorem 1.10.13 of [11]. Moreover, $(A \times_T B)^*$ is a $(A \times_T B)$-bimodule with the module operations given by

$$(f, g).(a, b) = \big(f.a + f.T(b), f \circ (L_aT) + g.b\big) \ , \tag{3.1}$$

$$(a, b).(f, g) = \big(a.f + T(b).f, f \circ (R_aT) + b.g\big) \ , \tag{3.2}$$

for all $a \in A, b \in B$ and $f \in A^*, g \in B^*$ where $L_aT : B \to A$ and $R_aT : B \to A$ are defined by $L_aT(b) = aT(b)$ and $R_aT(b) = T(b)a \ \ (b \in B)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let A and B be Banach algebras and $T \in \mathtt{Hom}(B, A)$. Then $A \times_T B$ has a Δ-weak approximate identity if and only if A and B have Δ-weak approximate identities.*

Proof. Let $((a_\alpha, b_\alpha))_\alpha$ be a Δ-weak approximate identity for $A \times_T B$. For every $\psi \in \Delta(B)$ and $b \in B$ we have,

$$\big|\psi(bb_\alpha) - \psi(b)\big| = \big|(0, \psi)\big((0, b)(a_\alpha, b_\alpha)\big) - (0, \psi)(0, b)\big| \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $(b_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a Δ-weak approximate identity for B. Also for every $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ and $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\varphi\big(a(a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))\big) - \varphi(a)\big| &= \big|\varphi\big(aa_\alpha + aT(b_\alpha)\big) - \varphi(a)\big| \\ &= \big|(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)\big((a, 0)(a_\alpha, b_\alpha)\big) - (\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, 0)\big| \\ &\longrightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\big|\varphi\big(a(a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))\big) - \varphi(a)\big| \longrightarrow 0$. Therefore $(a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))_\alpha$ is a Δ-weak approximate identity for A.

Conversely, suppose that $(a_\alpha)_\alpha$ and $(b_\beta)_\beta$ are Δ-weak approximate identity for A and B, respectively. We claim that $((a_\alpha - T(b_\beta), b_\beta))_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a Δ-weak approximate identity for $A \times_T B$. In fact for every $a \in A, b \in B$ and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)\big((a, b)(a_\alpha - T(b_\beta), b_\beta)\big) - (\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, b)\big| \\ &\qquad = \big|(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)\big(aa_\alpha + T(b)a_\alpha - T(bb_\beta), b_\beta b)\big) - (\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, b)\big| \\ &\qquad = \big|\varphi(aa_\alpha) + \varphi(T(b)a_\alpha) - \varphi(a) - \varphi \circ T(b)\big| \\ &\qquad \leq \big|\varphi(aa_\alpha) - \varphi(a)\big| + \big|\varphi(T(b)a_\alpha) - \varphi(T(b))\big| \longrightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we may show that $\big|(0,\psi)\big((a,b)(a_\alpha - T(b_\beta), b_\beta)\big) - (0,\psi)(a,b)\big| \longrightarrow 0$, for all $\psi \in \Delta(B)$. Therefore $((a_\alpha - T(b_\beta), b_\beta))_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a Δ-weak approximate identity for $A \times_T B$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let A and B be two Banach algebras and $T \in \mathtt{Hom}(B, A)$. If $A \times_T B$ is Δ-weak character amenable, then both A and B are Δ-weak character amenable. In the case that $T(B)$ is dense in A the converse is also valid.*

Proof. Suppose that $A \times_T B$ is Δ-weak character amenable. Let $\varphi \in \Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$. Then there exists $m \in (A \times_T B)^{**}$ such that $m(\varphi, \varphi \circ T) = 0$ and $m(h.(a,b)) = h(a,b)$ for all $(a,b) \in A \times_T B$ and $h \in \Delta(A \times_T B)$, where $h \neq (\varphi, \varphi \circ T)$. Define $m_\varphi \in A^{**}$ by $m_\varphi(f) = m(f, f \circ T)(f \in A^*)$. For every $a \in A$ and $\varphi' \in \Delta(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_\varphi(\varphi'.a) &= m\big(\varphi'.a, (\varphi'.a) \circ T\big) \\ &= m\big(\varphi'.a, \varphi' \circ L_a T\big) \\ &= m\big((\varphi', \varphi' \circ T).(a,0)\big) \\ &= (\varphi', \varphi' \circ T)(a,0) \\ &= \varphi'(a). \end{aligned}$$

Also $m_\varphi(\varphi) = m(\varphi, \varphi \circ T) = 0$. Thus A is a Δ-weak φ-amenable. Therefore A is Δ-weak character amenable.

Let $\psi \in \Delta(B) \cup \{0\}$. From the Δ-weak character amenability of $A \times_T B$ it follows that there exists a $m \in (A \times_T B)^{**}$ such that $m(0,\psi) = 0$ and $m(h.(a,b)) = h(a,b)$ for all $(a,b) \in A \times_T B$ and $h \in \Delta(A \times_T B)$, where $h \neq (0,\psi)$. Define $m_\psi \in B^{**}$ by $m_\psi(g) = m(0,g)$. So $m_\psi(\psi) = m(0,\psi) = 0$ and

$$m_\psi(\psi'.b) = m(0, \psi'.b) = m\big((0,\psi').(0,b)\big) = (0,\psi')(0,b') = \psi'(b),$$

for all $b \in B$ and $\psi' \in \Delta(B)$. Therefore B is Δ-weak character amenable.

Conversely, let A and B be Δ-weak character amenable. We show that for every $h \in \Delta(A \times_T B)$, $A \times_T B$ is Δ-weak h-amenable. For see this we first assume that $h = (0,\psi)$, where $\psi \in \Delta(B)$. Since B is Δ-weak character amenable by Theorem 2.6 there exists a net $(b_\beta)_\beta \subseteq \ker\psi$ such that $|\psi'(bb_\beta) - \psi'(b)| \longrightarrow 0$, for all $b \in B$ and $\psi' \in \Delta(B)$, where $\psi' \neq \psi$. We claim that $((0, b_\beta))_\beta \subseteq \ker h$ is a bounded Δ-weak approximate identity for $A \times_T B$. Indeed, for every $a \in A, b \in B$ and $\psi' \in \Delta(B)$, we have

$$\big|(0,\psi')\big((a,b)(0,b_\beta)\big) - (0,\psi')(a,b)\big| = \big|\psi'(bb_\beta) - \psi'(b)\big| \longrightarrow 0.$$

Also from density of $T(B)$ in A, it follows that for every $a \in A$, there exist a net $(b_i)_i$ in B such that $\lim_i T(b_i) = a$. Hence for every $a \in A, b \in B$ and

$\varphi \in \Delta(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|(\varphi, \varphi\circ T)\big((a,b)(0,b_\beta)\big) - (\varphi,\varphi\circ T)(a,b)\big| \\
&\qquad = \big|(\varphi,\varphi\circ T)\big(aT(b_\beta), bb_\beta\big) - (\varphi,\varphi\circ T)(a,b)\big| \\
&\qquad = \big|\varphi\big(aT(b_\beta)\big) + \varphi\circ T(bb_\beta) - \varphi(a) - \varphi\circ T(b)\big| \\
&\qquad \leq \big|\varphi\big(aT(b_\beta)\big) - \varphi(a)\big| + \big|\varphi\circ T(bb_\beta) - \varphi\circ T(b)\big| \\
&\qquad = \lim_i \big|\varphi\circ T(b_ib_\beta) - \varphi\circ T(b_i)\big| + \big|\varphi\circ T(bb_\beta) - \varphi\circ T(b)\big| \longrightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So, Theorem 2.6 implies that $A \times_T B$ is Δ-weak $(0,\psi)$-amenable.

Now let $h = (\varphi, \varphi\circ T)$, where $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. Since A is Δ-weak φ-amenable by Theorem 2.6, there exists a net $(a_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \ker\varphi$ such that $|\varphi'(aa_\alpha) - \varphi'(a)| \longrightarrow 0$, for all $a \in A$ and $\varphi' \in \Delta(A)$, where $\varphi' \neq \varphi$. Also since B is Δ-weak $\varphi\circ T$-amenable again by Theorem 2.6, there exists a net $(b_\beta)_\beta \subseteq \ker(\varphi\circ T)$ such that $|\psi'(bb_\beta) - \psi'(b)| \longrightarrow 0$, for all $b \in B$ and $\psi' \in \Delta(B)$, where $\psi' \neq \varphi\circ T$. We show that $((a_\alpha - T(b_\beta), b_\beta))_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a bounded Δ-weak approximate identity for $A \times_T B$ in $\ker(\varphi,\varphi\circ T)$. It is easy to see that $((a_\alpha - T(b_\beta), b_\beta))_{(\alpha,\beta)} \subseteq \ker(\varphi,\varphi\circ T)$ and is bounded. For every $a \in A, b \in B$ and $\psi' \in \Delta(B)$, we have

$$\big|(0,\psi')\big((a,b)(a_\alpha - T(b_\beta), b_\beta)\big) - (0,\psi')(a,b)\big| = \big|\psi'(bb_\beta) - \psi'(b)\big| \longrightarrow 0,$$

and for every $\varphi' \in \Delta(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|(\varphi',\varphi'\circ T)\big((a,b)(a_\alpha - T(b_\beta), b_\beta)\big) - (\varphi',\varphi'\circ T)\big((a,b)\big)\big| \\
&\quad = \big|(\varphi',\varphi'\circ T)\big(aa_\alpha + T(b)a_\alpha - T(bb_\beta), bb_\beta\big) - (\varphi',\varphi'\circ T)\big((a,b)\big)\big| \\
&\quad = \big|\varphi'(aa_\alpha) + \varphi'(T(b)a_\alpha) - \varphi'\circ T(bb_\beta) + \varphi'\circ T(bb_\beta) - \varphi'(a) - \varphi'\circ T(b)\big| \\
&\quad \leq \big|\varphi'(aa_\alpha) - \varphi'(a)\big| + \big|\varphi'(T(b)a_\alpha) - \varphi'(T(b))\big| \longrightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $((a_\alpha - T(b_\beta), b_\beta))_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a bounded Δ-weak approximate identity for $A \times_T B$ in $\ker(\varphi,\varphi\circ T)$. Again Theorem 2.6, yield that $A \times_T B$ is Δ-weak $(\varphi,\varphi\circ T)$-amenable. Therefore $A \times_T B$ is Δ-weak character amenable. □

4. Δ-weak character amenability of abstract Segal algebras

We start this section with the basic definition of abstract Segal algebra; see [4] for more details. Let $(A, \|.\|_A)$ be a Banach algebra. A Banach algebra $(B, \|.\|_B)$ is an abstract Segal algebra with respect to A if:

(1) B is a dense left ideal in A;
(2) there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|b\|_A \leq M\|b\|_B$ for all $b \in B$;
(3) there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|ab\|_B \leq C\|a\|_A\|b\|_B$ for all $a, b \in B$.

Several authors have studied various notions of amenability for abstract Segal algebras; see, for example, [14, 2].

To prove our next result we need to quote the following lemma from [2].

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a Banach algebra and let B be an abstract Segal algebra with respect to A. Then $\Delta(B) = \{\varphi|_B : \varphi \in \Delta(A)\}$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a Banach algebra and let B be an abstract Segal algebra with respect to A. If B is Δ-weak character amenable, then so is A. In the case that B^2 is dense in B and B has a bounded approximate identity the converse is also valid.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. Since B is Δ-weak $\varphi|_B$-amenable by Theorem 2.6, there exists a Δ-weak approximate identity $(b_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\ker(\varphi|_B)$ such that $\Big|\psi|_B(bb_\alpha) - \psi|_B(b)\Big| \longrightarrow 0$, for all $b \in B$ and $\psi \in \Delta(A)$, where $\psi \neq \varphi|_B$. Let $\psi \in \Delta(A)$ and $a \in A$. From the density of B in A it follows that there exists a net $(b_i)_i \subseteq B$ such that $\lim_i b_i = a$. So

$$\Big|\psi(ab_\alpha) - \psi(a)\Big| = \lim_i \Big|\psi|_B(b_i b_\alpha) - \psi|_B(b_i)\Big| \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus $(b_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a Δ-weak approximate identity for A. Therefore A is Δ-weak φ-amenable. Then A is Δ-weak character amenable.

Conversely, suppose that A is Δ-weak character amenable. Let $\varphi|_B \in \Delta(B)$. By Theorem2.6, there exists a Δ-weak approximate identity $(a_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\ker(\varphi)$ such that $\Big|\psi(aa_\alpha) - \psi(a)\Big| \longrightarrow 0$, for all $a \in A$ and $\psi \in \Delta(A)$, where $\psi \neq \varphi$. Let $(e_i)_i$ be a bounded approximate identity for B with bound $M > 0$. Set $b_\alpha = \lim_i (e_i a_\alpha e_i)$, for all α. We claim that $(b_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a Δ-weak approximate identity for B in $\ker(\varphi|_B)$. From the facts that B^2 is dense in B and continuity of φ it follows that $b_\alpha \subseteq \ker(\varphi|_B)$. Moreover, for every $\psi|_B \in \Delta(B)$ and $b \in B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\psi|_B(bb_\alpha) - \psi|_B(b)\Big| &= \lim_i \Big|\psi|_B(be_i a_\alpha e_i) - \psi|_B(b)\Big| \\ &= \lim_i \Big|\psi|_B(be_i^2 a_\alpha) - \psi|_B(b)\Big| \\ &= \Big|\psi|_B(ba_\alpha) - \psi|_B(b)\Big| \longrightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(b_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a Δ-weak approximate identity for B. So B is Δ-weak $\varphi|_B$-amenable. Therefore B is Δ-weak character amenable. □

5. Δ-weak character amenability of module extension Banach algebras

Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach A-bimodule. The l^1-direct sum of A and X, denoted by $A \oplus_1 X$, with the product defined by

$$(a, x)(a', x') = (aa', a.x' + x.a') \qquad (a, a' \in A, x, x' \in X),$$

is a Banach algebra that is called the module extension Banach algebra of A and X.

Using the fact that the element $(0, x)$ is nilpotent in $A \oplus_1 X$ for all $x \in X$, it is easy to verify that

$$\Delta(A \oplus_1 X) = \{\tilde{\varphi} : \varphi \in \Delta(A)\},$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(a, x) = \varphi(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in X$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach A-bimodule. Then $A \oplus_1 X$ is Δ-weak character amenable if and only if A is Δ-weak character amenable.*

Proof. Suppose that A is Δ-weak character amenable. Let $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Delta(A \oplus_1 X)$. By Theorem 2.6, there exists a Δ-weak approximate identity $(a_\alpha)_\alpha$ for A in $\ker(\varphi)$ such that $\big|\psi(aa_\alpha) - \psi(a)\big| \longrightarrow 0$, for all $a \in A$ and $\psi \in \Delta(A)$, where $\psi \neq \varphi$. We show that $(a_\alpha, 0)_\alpha$ is a Δ-weak approximate identity for $A \oplus_1 X$ in $\ker(\tilde{\varphi})$. Clearly, $(a_\alpha, 0)_\alpha \subseteq \ker(\tilde{\varphi})$. For every $a \in A, x \in X$ and $\tilde{\psi} \in \Delta(A \oplus_1 X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\tilde{\psi}\big((a,x)(a_\alpha,0)\big) - \tilde{\psi}(a,x)\big| &= \big|\tilde{\psi}\big(aa_\alpha, x.a_\alpha\big) - \tilde{\psi}(a,x)\big| \\ &= \big|\psi(aa_\alpha) - \psi(a)\big| \longrightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(a_\alpha, 0)_\alpha$ is a Δ-weak approximate identity. Hence $A \oplus_1 X$ is Δ-weak $\tilde{\varphi}$-amenable. Therefore $A \oplus_1 X$ is Δ-weak character amenable.

For the converse, let $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. Then by Theorem 2.6 there exists a Δ-weak approximate identity $(a_\alpha, x_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\ker(\tilde{\varphi})$ such that $\big|\tilde{\psi}\big((a,x)(a_\alpha,x_\alpha)\big) - \tilde{\psi}(a,x)\big| \longrightarrow 0$, for all $a \in A, x \in X$ and $\tilde{\psi} \in \Delta(A \oplus_1 X)$, where $\tilde{\psi} \neq \tilde{\varphi}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\psi(aa_\alpha) - \varphi(a)\big| &= \big|\tilde{\psi}(aa_\alpha, a.x_\alpha + x.a_\alpha) - \tilde{\psi}(a,x)\big| \\ &= \big|\tilde{\psi}\big((a,x)(a_\alpha,x_\alpha)\big) - \tilde{\psi}(a,x)\big| \longrightarrow 0,\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$ and $\psi \in \Delta(A)$. Moreover, $\varphi(a_\alpha) = \tilde{\varphi}(a_\alpha, x_\alpha) = 0$, for all α. Thus $(a_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$ is Δ-weak approximate identity for A. Again by Theorem 2.6, we conclude that A is Δ-weak φ-amenable. Therefore A is Δ-weak character amenable. □

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to the office of Graduate studies of the university of Isfahan for their support.

References

1. F. Abtahi, A. Ghafarpanah and A. Rejali, Biprojectivity and biflatness of Lau product of Banach algebras defined by a Banach algebra morphism, Bull, Austral. Math, Soc.,Vol 91, Iss 01, (2015), 134-144.
2. M. Alaghmandan, R. Nasr-Isfahani and M. Nemati, Character amenability and contractibility of abstract Segal algebras, Bull, Austral. Math, Soc., 82, (2010), 274-281.
3. S. J. Bhatt and P. A. Dabhi, Arens regularity and amenability of lau product of Banach algebras defined by a Banach algebra morphism, Bull, Austral. Math, Soc., 87, (2013), 197-206.
4. J. T. Burnham, Closed ideals in subalgebras of Banach algebras, I, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (1972), 551-555.
5. C. A. Jones, C. D. Lahr, Weak and norm approximate identities, Pacific J. Math, Vol. 72, No. 1, 1977.
6. Z. Hu, M. Sangani Monfared and T. Traynor, On character amenable Banach algebras, Studia Math. 193 (1), (2009), 53-78.
7. E. Kaniuth, A course in commutative Banach algebras, Springer Verlag, Graduate texts in mathematics, 2009.
8. E. Kaniuth, A. Lau, J. Pym, On φ-amenability of Banach algebras, Math. Proc. Camp. Phil. Soc., 144 (2008), 85-96.

9. J. Laali and M. Fozouni, On Δ-weak ϕ-amenability of Banach algebras, arXiv:1403.2162v2.
10. A. T. Lau, Analysis on a class of Banach algebras with applications to harmonic analysis on locally compact groups and semigroups, Fund. Math., 118, (1983), pp. 161-175.
11. R. E. Megginson, *An introduction to Banach space theory,* Springer, New York, (1998).
12. M. S. Monfared, On certain products of Banach algebras with application to harmonic analysis, Studia Math., 178, (2007), 277-294.
13. M. S. Monfared, Character amenability of Banach algebras, Math. Proc. Combridge Philos. Soc. 144 (2008), 697-706.
14. H. Samea, Essential amenability of abstract Segal algebras, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 79, (2009), 319-325.

(Hamid Sadeghi) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran.

E-mail address: Sadeghi@sci.ui.ac.ir